FTR Liquidation: Cancelling FTRs

MC Special Session 02.02.2022

Joseph Bowring Howard Haas



- Eliminate FTR positions of defaulting member
- Isolates FTR participant default risk to FTR market
 - No impacts on rest of market
 - No unknown default allocation assessment
- Reduces market disruptions
 - No sell off of large positions
- Lower cost than selling FTRs
 - No reduced prices to encourage sale
 - No higher payments for counter flow FTRs
- Similar cost to taking to settlement but costs contained within the ARR/FTR market

- Counter flow FTR target allocations are eliminated
 - Negative target allocations are payments made from FTR holders
 - Decreases funds to pay remaining FTRs.
 - DA congestion surplus decreases.
 - DA surplus decrease, ARR surplus increase
 - FTR effect > ARR effect
 - Counter flow can be offered in subsequent auctions
 - Only clears if it increases total revenue to auction
 - Reduced cost relative to liquidation

- Cancelled FTRs are reconfigured by market at market prices
- Auction revenue may be reduced, but revenue is a result of market prices
- Preferable to PJM sale of portfolio without clear criteria at potentially lower price and therefore higher cost to market participants
- No discretion necessary, capacity is awarded based on auction clearing

Total surplus may be affected

Counter flow

- Fewer negative target allocation payments: reduce surplus
- Lower payments from ARR surplus: increase surplus
- Net result is no more expensive than going to settlement

Prevailing flow

- Fewer positive target allocation payouts: increase surplus
- Lower payments to ARR surplus: reduce surplus
- Sale of prevailing flow capacity: increase surplus
- Net result is no more expensive than going to settlement

- PJM can manage market models to increase ARR funding if necessary
 - PJM currently manages market model in the annual auction to improve funding
- Ensures most competitive outcome
 - Letting market determine prices for FTRs based on actual conditions and with maximum participation
 - More competitive than using special purpose sale of entire portfolio to a small group of participants outside of the market

IMM Proposal: Properties

- Costs contained in ARR/FTR market
 - No cross market default allocation assessment across all members
 - No more expensive than going to settlement
- No need to offer specific paths
 - No need to mask participants
- Cancellation will never have a worse result than alternatives.
 - Cancellation likely to have better result
 - Cancellation limits any downside to FTR market participants

Monitoring Analytics, LLC
2621 Van Buren Avenue
Suite 160
Eagleville, PA
19403
(610) 271-8050

MA@monitoringanalytics.com www.MonitoringAnalytics.com